UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)	
v.) C N	5.09 CB 102-2
Charles Edward Coffey	Case No: USM No:	5:98CR192-3 13674-058
Date of Original Judgment: August 20, 1999) USWING.	13074-036
Date of Last Amended Judgment: April 17, 2009	Federal Defe	ender
)	Defendant's A	
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)		
Upon motion of \blacksquare the defendant \square the Director of \$3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the Unite \$994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to	osed based on a d States Senter account the po	a guideline sentencing range that has acing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. plicy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:		
☐ DENIED. ■ GRANTED and the defendant's p the last judgment issued) of 188 month		osed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in is reduced to time served plus 10 days
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANG Original Offense Level: 35 Criminal History Category: IV Original Guideline Range: 235 to 293 months	Amended O Criminal His	Departures) ffense Level: 31 story Category: IV uideline Range: 151 to 188 months
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELIN ■ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. □ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less. □ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range. □ Other (explain): Since the defendant has already served the time served, plus 10 days. 	ge. the guideline than the amended.	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS		
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment date IT IS SO ORDERED.	ed <u>August 20</u>	shall remain in effect.
Order Date: November 18, 2011		last Toolan
Effective Date:		L. Voorhees States District Judge